Problem Solving Constraint Programming

Marie Pelleau marie.pelleau@univ-cotedazur.fr

Constraint Programming 1/41

Notes

Notes

Greedy Algorithm

Greedy Algorithm

Principle

- At each step, a choice is made, the one that seems the best at that moment
- Builds a solution step by step
	- without revisiting previous decisions
	- by making at each step the choice that seems the best
	- hoping to achieve a global optimal result
- **•** Greedy approach
	- depending on the problem, no guarantee of optimality (greedy heuristic)
	- low cost (compared to exhaustive enumeration)
	- \bullet intuitive choice

Local Search

Remark

1

 $\epsilon = 5$ $n = 6$ $d = 7$ $m = 1$

14

16

 $r = 8$ $y = 2$

15

 $o = 0$

17 ✓

.

2

 $e = 0$

3

 $n = 0$

4

 $d = 0$

5

 $m = 1$

6

 $o = 0$

 \cdots (9 ✗

7

 $r = 0$ $y = 0$ $\sqrt{y} = 9$

8 ✗

To find the only solution, generates:

- $9^2 * 10^6 = 81\ 000\ 000$ leaves with the first model
- $2^4 * 9^2 * 10^6 = 1$ 296 000 000 with the second

Can we do better?

Constraint Programming 8 / 41

Solving method Forward Checking

Forward Checking

As soon as a variable is assigned, we try to filter the values for the other variables

• Replace the variable with its value in all constraints

✓

• Filtering can be applied if a constraint has only one remaining variable

$$
r_{4} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\text{(a)} \\
\text{(b)} \\
\text{(c)} \\
\text{(d)} \\
\text{(e)} \\
\text{(f)} \\
\text{(h)} \\
\text{(i)} \\
\text{(j)} \\
\text{(k)} \\
\text{(k)} \\
\text{(l)} \\
\text{(
$$

Solving method Forward Checking

Forward Checking

 \mathfrak{g}

3

r3 = 0 $s = 2$ / \searrow s = 9

. . .

✗

2 $r_4=1$

> 5 6) (7

 $r_2 = 0 \times r_2 = 1$

8

9

 $\epsilon = 5$ $r_{1} = 1$

10

 $d = 7$

✓

1 $r_4 = 0$ ✗

4

✗

As soon as a variable is assigned, we try to filter the values for the other variables

- Replace the variable with its value in all constraints
- Filtering can be applied if a constraint has only one remaining variable

Remark

To find the only solution, generates:

- 483 840 leaves with the first model
- **57 with the second**

Why wait for an assignment?

Constraint Programming 9/41

Solving method Method with Filtering

Method with Filtering

Notes

Notes

The 2 key steps of constraint programming!

Propagation

Removes inconsistent values from the domains, meaning values that cannot be part of a solution.

Exploration

Assigns a value to a variable.

a sa B

Solving method Method with Filtering Propagation Consistency for a constraint Definition (Support) Let v_1, \ldots, v_n be variables with finite discrete domains D_1, \ldots, D_n , and C be a constraint. The value $x_i \in D_i$ has a support if and only if $\forall j \in [1, n], j \neq i, \exists x_j \in D_j$ such that $C(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is true Example $C: v_1 \neq v_2$ with $D_1 = D_2 = \{ \bullet, \bullet, \bullet \}$ • for v_1 has a support: • for v_2 because $C(\bullet, \bullet)$ is true • for v_1 has a support: • for v_2 because $C(\bullet, \bullet)$ is true • for v_1 has a support: • for v_2 because $C(\bullet, \bullet)$ is true Constraint Programming 11 / 41 Solving method Method with Filtering **Consistencies** Definition (Bound consistency) Let v_1, \ldots, v_n be variables with finite discrete domains D_1, \ldots, D_n , and C be a constraint. The domains are said to be bound-consistent (BC) for C if and only if $\forall i \in [1, n], D_i = [a_i, b_i]$, where a_i and b_i have a support. [Exam](#page-5-0)ple Consider two variables v_1 , v_2 with domains $D_1 = D_2 = [-1, 4]$ and the constraint $v_1 = 2v_2$. The bound-consistent domains for this constraint are Notes **Notes**

 $D_1 = [0, 4]$ and $D_2 = [0, 2]$

Consistencies

Notes

Notes

Definition (Generalized Arc Consistency)

Let v_1, \ldots, v_n be variables with finite discrete domains D_1, \ldots, D_n , and C be a constraint. The domains are said to be generalized arc-consistent (GAC) for C if and only if $\forall i \in [1,n], \forall x \in D_i, x$ has a support.

Example

Let v_1, v_2 be two variables with domains $D_1 = D_2 = [-1, 4]$ and the constraint $v_1 = 2v_2$. The arc-consistent domains for this constraint are $D_1 = \{0, 2, 4\}$ and $D_2 = \{0, 1, 2\}$

- AC1 and AC3 [Mackworth, 1977a]
- AC4 [Mohr and Henderson, 1986]
- AC5 [van Hentenryck et al., 1992]
- [A](#page-5-0)C6 [Bessière, 1994]
- AC7 [Bessière et al., 1999]
- AC2001 [Bessière and Régin, 2001]
- AC3.2 and AC3.3 [Lecoutre et al., 2003]

Solving method Maintaining Generalized Arc Consistency

Maintaining Generalized Arc Consistency

Notes

Two phases alternate:

1

3 ✗

2 ✗

-

-

-

 $r_1 =$

 r_2 =

 $e =$

-

- Propagation, using generalized arc consistency
- **•** Exploration, making a choice

4

6

5 ✗

> 4 5/ \6 7

7 ✗ 8 ✓ 9 ✗ 10 ✗

0

0/ \setminus 1

0/ \1 0/ \1 Remark To find the only solution, generates:

- 6 leaves with the first model
- 7 with the second

Constraint Programming 15 / 41

 $\mathsf{v}_7\mathsf{)}\{\bullet,\bullet,\bullet\}$

 $\{\bullet,\bullet,\bullet\}$ (ν_6

 \neq

 $C_6: v_3 \neq v_5$ $C_7: v_4 \neq v_5$ $C_8: v_4 \neq v_6$ $C_9: v_5 \neq v_6$ $C_{10} : v_6 \neq v_7$

Search Strategy - dom

Search Strategy - deg

Search Strategy deg

Notes

Does it work all the time?

Constraint Programming 22 / 41

Global Constraints

Global Constraints

Allows representing a set of constraints

- **•** Facilitates modeling
- Dedicated algorithm to remove inconsistent values from domains

Constraint Catalog [Beldiceanu et al., 2010]

The most well-known

- **a** alldifferent
- \bullet cycle
- global_cardinality
- nvalue
- element

alldifferent Constraint

Notes

First presented in [Lauriere, 1978] Returns true if all variables are pairwise different

Example

The difference constraints in the send $+$ more $=$ money problem can be rewritten as all different (s, e, n, d, m, o, r, v)

STATISTICS

Global Constraints alldifferent

Graph theory

Definition (Matching)

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, a subset M of the edges E is called a matching if and only if no two edges share a vertex,

Definition (Maximal Matching)

A matching is said to be maximal if it contains the maximum number of edges possible.

The Hopcroft-Karp algorithm [Hopcroft and Karp, 1973] allows for calculating the maximal matching in a bipartite graph

Notes

Hopcroft-Karp algorithm

Constraint Programming 29 / 41

Global Constraints alldifferent

Strongly Connected Component

Definition (Directed Graph)

A directed graph $G = (V, E)$ is a graph where the edges have a direction, and they are called **arcs**

Definition (Strongly Connected Component)

Given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, a strongly connected component is a maximal set of vertices such that for each vertex in the set, there exists a path to every other vertex in the set

Tarjan's algorithm [Tarjan, 1972] efficiently computes the strongly connected components in a graph

Notes

Constraint Programming 32 / 41

alldifferent: propagation for arc-consistency

Exemple

- $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$
- $D_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}, D_2 = \{1, 2, 4, 5\},$ et $D_3 = D_4 = D_5 = \{4, 5, 6\}$
- We find a maximal matching \Rightarrow a solution
- We search for strongly connected $components \Rightarrow$ permutations

Constraint Programming 32 / 41

Global Constraints alldifferent

alldifferent: propagation for arc-consistency

Exemple

- $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$
- $D_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}, D_2 = \{1, 2, 4, 5\},$ et $D_3 = D_4 = D_5 = \{4, 5, 6\}$
- We find a maximal matching \Rightarrow a solution
- We search for strongly connected $components \Rightarrow$ permutations
- We add the isolated values to the initial domains

Notes

Notes

Constraint Programming 32 / 41

alldifferent: propagation for arc-consistency

Exemple

- $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$
- $D_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}, D_2 = \{1, 2\},$ et $D_3 = D_4 = D_5 = \{4, 5, 6\}$
- We find a maximal matching \Rightarrow a solution
- We search for strongly connected $components \Rightarrow$ permutations
- We add the isolated values to the initial domains

Constraint Programming 32 / 41

Global Constraints alldifferent

Hall's Interval

Definition

Let (v_1, \ldots, v_n) be variables with finite discrete domains (D_1, \ldots, D_n) . Given an interval *I*, we define $K_I = \{v_i \mid D_i \subseteq I\}$. *I* is a Hall's interval if $|I| = |K_I|.$

Example

Consider the following problem:

- $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$
- $D_1 = [1, 3], D_2 = [1, 5],$ et $D_3 = D_4 = D_5 = [4, 6]$
- $I = [4, 6]$ is a Hall's interval because $K_1 = \{v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ and we have $|I|=|K_I|$

•
$$
I = [1,3]
$$
 is not a Hall's interval because $K_I = \{v_1\}$ and $|I| \neq |K_I|$

Notes

alldifferent: propagation for bound-consistency

Exemple

Consider the following problem:

- For each lower bound a and upper bound b of the domains, we check if $I = [a, b]$ is a Hall's interval
- \bullet If *I* is a Hall's interval, we can remove the values in I from the domains of variables in $V \setminus K_I$
- $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ • $D_1 = [1, 3], D_2 = [1, 3],$ et
- $D_3 = D_4 = D_5 = [4, 6]$
- $I = [1, 6]$ is not a Hall's interval
- $I = [1, 5]$ is not a Hall's interval
- $I = [1, 3]$ is not a Hall's interval
- $I = [4, 5]$ is not a Hall's interval
- $I = [4, 6]$ is a Hall's interval \Rightarrow we remove the values 4, 5, 6 from the domains of variables not in K_I

Constraint Programming 34 / 41

Global Constraints gcc

global cardinality Constraint

First presented in [Oplobedu et al., 1989] global cardinality $(\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}, \{x_1, \ldots, p\}, \{nb_1, \ldots, nb_p\})$ Variables Variables Values Occurrences 0 courrences

Returns true if among the variables $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$, there are nb_i variables having the value x_i

Exemple

global cardinality $({v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6}, {0, 1}, {2, 4})$ In some cases, we can express an alldifferent using a global cardinality alldifferent $(v_1, v_2, v_3) =$ global cardinality $({v_1, v_2, v_3}, { \bullet, \bullet, \bullet}, {1, 1, 1})$ **Notes**

Global Constraints gcc

global cardinality Constraint

Notes

Notes

• Arc-consistency

- · Developed by [Régin, 1996]
- Based on a flow algorithm

• Bound-consistency

- Developed by [Quimper et al., 2003]
- Based on the concept of Hall's interval
- Developed by [Katriel and Thiel, 2003]
- Based on convexity to improve the efficiency of the flow algorithm

Constraint Programming 36 / 41

Global Constraints gcc

Sports Schedule

Description

- *n* teams, $n 1$ weeks, and $n/2$ periods
- each pair of teams plays exactly once
- each team plays one match every week
- each team plays at most 2 times in the period

Example (Possible solution)

Magic Sequence

Notes

Notes

Description

A magic sequence of length *n* is a sequence of integers v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1} , where each integer $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ appears exactly v_i times in the sequence

Magic Sequence $(n = 10)$

vⁱ 6 2 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Description

A Langford sequence is a sequence of integers $v_1, \ldots, v_{k \times n}$, where each integer $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ appears exactly k times, and the two successive occurrences of i are separated by a distance of i We consider here only the case for $k = 2$

Langford Sequence $(n = 7)$

7 3 6 2 5 3 2 4 7 6 5 1 4 1 7 1 4

Global Constraints gcc

Alice and Bob are Going to Work

Description

- Alice goes to work by car (30 to 40 minutes) or by bus (at least 60 min)
- Bob goes by bike (40 or 50 min) or by motorbike (20 to 30 min)
- This morning:
	- Alice left her house between 7:10 AM and 7:20 AM
	- Bob arrived at work between 8:00 AM and 8:10 AM
	- Alice arrived 10 to 20 minutes after Bob left
- ¹ Model this problem
- ² Is the story consistent?
- ³ When did Bob leave? Is it possible that he took his bike?
- **4** Is the story consistent if we add that:
	- Alice's car is broken down
	- Alice and Bob met on the way

Constraint Programming 40 / 41

Global Constraints gcc

Binairo – 2018 Exam

Description

A Belgian game, based on a square grid with only the digits 0 and 1. On each row and each column:

- \bullet there are as many 0 's as 1 's
- there cannot be more than 2 identical digits next to each other

No two rows or columns can be identical.

Example Grid

Constraint Programming 41 / 41

Bibliography

Increasing tree search efficiency for constraint satisfaction problems. In Proceedings of the 6th International Joint Conference on Artificial intelligence (IJCAI'79), pages 356–364. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc. NotesF Hopcroft, J. E. and Karp, R. M. (1973). An $n^{5/2}$ algorithm for maximum matchings in bipartite graphs. SIAM Journal on Computing, 2(4):225–231. 量 Katriel, I. and Thiel, S. (2003). Fast bound consistency for the global cardinality constraint. In Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming (CP'03), volume 2833 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 437–451. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg. 量 Lauriere, J.-L. (1978). A language and a program for stating and solving combinatorial problems. Artificial Intelligence, 10(1):29 – 127. F Lecoutre, C., Boussemart, F., and Hemery, F. (2003). Exploiting multidirectionality in coarse-grained arc consistency algorithms. In Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming (CP'03), volume 2833 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 480–494. Springer. ã Lopez-Ortiz, A., Quimper, C.-G., Tromp, J., and Beek, P. V. (2003). A fast and simple algorithm for bounds consistency of the all different constraint. In Proceedings of the 18th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 245–250. 量 Mackworth, A. K. (1977a). Consistency in networks of relations. Artificial Intelligence, 8(1):99-118. f. Mackworth, A. K. (1977b). On reading sketch maps. In Proceedings of the 5th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 598–606. h Mehlhorn, K. and Thiel, S. (2000). Constraint Programming 41 / 41

Bibliography

Faster algorithms for bound-consistency of the sortedness and the alldifferent constraint. In Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming (CP '00), volume 1894 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 306–319. Springer.

Global Constraints gcc

Tarjan, R. (1972).

Т

 $\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$

Artificial Intelligence, 57.

Depth-first search and linear graph algorithms. SIAM Journal on Computing, 1(2):146–160.

F van Hentenryck, P., Deville, Y., and Teng, C.-M. (1992). A generic arc-consistency algorithm and its specializations.

F van Hentenryck, P., Saraswat, V. A., and Deville, Y. (1995).

Design, implementation, and evaluation of the constraint language cc(fd).
In *Selected Papers from Constraint Programming: Basics and Trends*, pages 293–316. Springer-Verlag.

Notes